

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

Oler

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/470,6	50 12/22/99	FIGURA	٦	T 94-0280, 04 EXAMINER
CHARLES BRANTLEY MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC 8000 S FEDERAL WAY		MMC2/0503		ART LINE DAY, LPAPER NUMBER
MAIL STO	MAIL STOP 525 BOISE ID 83716			DATE MARRO:3
				05/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/470,650	FIGURA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
			2813					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Lisa A Kilday						
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the co	on coponacinos adareses					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.	<u> April 2000</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	,,,,,	nis action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claims $1-46$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)	- in all disapproved							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv						
* :	application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for don							
	-							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) No	tice of Neterences office (1.10 632) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	19) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/470,650

Art Unit: 2813

. .

1. Claims 1, 7, 13, 19, 23, 39, 40, and 44 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a first embodiment described in fig. 1-4, a second embodiment described in figs. 5-6, and a third embodiment described in figs. 7-8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. A telephone call was not made to the attorney of record because the applicant does not elect over the telephone.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/470,650

Art Unit: 2813

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached on (703) 308-2417. The fax phone number for the group is (703) 305-3432.

LAK

05/02/01

Charles Bowers

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800